e-shape pilot 5.2

Satellite Earth Observation-derived water bodies and floodwater record





Before e-shape: setting the scene

- Sentinel-1 A/B enabling <u>systematic</u>, high-frequency radar observations at global scale
- Automated SAR-based flood retrieval algorithms have reached high technical readiness levels
- Fast & easy access to imagery
- Fast (pre-)processing of data via cloud-based platforms
- Successful launch of large scale applications enabling a fully automated SAR-based monitoring of water bodies

Possibility to envisage a fully automated, global, Sentinel-1 based flood monitoring service ?

Co-designing the GFMS

Limitations of CEMS as of 2020:



- No constant automatic monitoring
- Requires user activation
- Activation requests often arrive late (missing flood peak)
- Currently not possible to map all floods (resource limitations)



© Copernicus EMS



User requirements:

- Timeliness: better response planning
- **Continuous monitoring**: adapt measures depending on the evolution of the flood
- High resolution: needs to be adequate for impact assessment
- Historic data: improved prevention planning
- Access: as diverse as possible to account for all user needs

Co-designing the GFMS

Requested data layers:

- Observed water/flood extent
- Reference water mask

Seasonal/permanent based on historical Sentinel-1 time-series

- Ensemble uncertainty
- Advisory flags (snow, ice, frost, dry soil, wind)
- Exclusion layer (urban, dense vegetation, radar shadows, low backscatter)
- Impact information
- Sentinel-1 metadata footprint schedule

Available < 12 hours after data acquisition!

Research and development within e-shape

Challenge #1:

The retrieval algorithm needs to be

efficient and robust and achieve high classification accuracies in diverse landscapes and different acquisition conditions

Challenge #2:

Fast access to imagery and high performance computational resources to respond to needs of emergency responders and disaster risk financing sector.

Research and development

Objectives:

- Development of methods & retrieval algorithms enabling generation of 'observed flood extent' product at global scale:
 - Need to overcome challenges due to water look-alikes (e.g. wet snow, dry land, vegetation) & roughening of water surfaces
 - Identification and exclusion of "blind spots"
- Improve efficiency of workflows
 - Minimize lag between image acquisition and product dissemination

Research questions:

- Can an **ensemble approach based on three independent retrieval algorithms** improve the accuracy and robustness of individual mapping approaches?
- What areas have to excluded because of current shortcomings of SAR technologies and retrieval algorithms?

Wet snow







of SAR technologies and

Vegetation

Methodology

3 scientific retrieval algorithms:

- DLR¹: Image classification using fuzzy logic with post classification and region growing
- LIST²: Change-detection using hierarchical split-based approach
- TUW³: Bayesian classifier informed by full per-pixel Sentinel-1 signal history

Ensemble:

- 'Consensus decision': Pixel-based classification based on 2-3 agreeing algorithms
- 'Split decision': Pixel-based classification based on uncertainties of 2 algorithms



Representative of single-image, dual-image and time series approaches

¹ Martinis et al., ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 2015
 ² Chini et al., IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 2017
 ³ Bauer-Marschallinger et al., Remote Sens., 2022

Flooding near Guantao, China Sentinel-1 scene from 14.10.21

Methodology



e-shape solutions: Earth Observation for biodiversity and water management, The Hague, 14-15 February 2023

Demo



https://dev.globalfloods.eu/glofas-forecasting/#close

California Flood 2023



GFM ensemble result

Background: ESRI Satellite Data available: globalfloods.eu



0 7,5 15 km

Quantitative evaluation



Quantitative evaluation





CSI	Texas	Myanmar	Greece
Algorithm 1	0.67	0.78	0.59
Algorithm 2	0.69	0.84	0.66
Algorithm 3	-	0.82	0.73
Ensemble	0.73	0.85	0.66

Qualitative Evaluation

Snow-water separation: use case Black River in Vermont, US (2019 - 2020)





- Good match with manually delineated water bodies from optical imagery
- No over-detection due to snow!
- Failure of one algorithm is mitigated by the ensemble

Qualitative Evaluation

Very dry areas: use case in Somalia (June 2019)





- One algorithm over-estimates the extent of flooding in very dry areas
- The over-detection of one algorithm is mitigated by the ensemble

Qualitative Evaluation

Vegetated areas: use case in South Sweden (May 2019)







- Some over-detection of floodwater in vegetated • areas (on several dates during the growing season)
- The risk of significantly over-estimating the extent of • floodwater is reduced by the ensemble

In terms of accuracy, for specific events and areas of interest, individual approaches outperform the ensemble

- However, overall, the ensemble approach outperforms individual mapping approaches in terms of accuracy
- Large flooding events are mapped with satisfying accuracy

The ensemble approach helps controlling the number of over-detections

' 'Go' for generation of Sentinel 1 based generation of flood record 2015-2023

Building the flood record 2015 - 2023

- main processing infrastructure hosted by **ecce**
- computation took place on VSC5 on 100 nodes with 128 CPUs and 512 GB per node
- 10 Sentinel-1 scenes in parallel per node => 1000 scenes processed in parallel

high-level statistics on the processing effort

- > 1.4 million processed scenes
 - average runtime of one scene: 13:12 min
 - total cpu-time for flood archive processing (excl. auxiliary data) ~ 330000 hours
 ~ 38 years (if they would have been sequentially processed)
- → **110TB** of storage consisting of
 - **37TB** of GFM output layers (advisory flags, exclusion mask, flood extent, observed water extent, likelihood)
 - 73TB of interim layers (flood extent, likelihood) of individual flood algorithms





Building the flood record 2015 - 2023

Pakistan Flood 2022

- extremely large event
- crisis persisted into 2023



ifrc.com, 2022/12/13



Building the flood record 2015 - 2023



Pakistan Flood 2022

 progression from August to September

Beyond e-shape: product evolutions

- Improving the overall accuracy & reliability of current service (e.g. integrate approaches adaptive to vegetation/crop changes, test alternatives for ensemble computation)
- Reducing size of excluded areas: e.g. by mapping floodwater in urban areas considering coherence data in addition to intensity data
- Developing value adding services:
 - data assimilation into flood forecasting systems
 - global scale flood hazard and risk analyses

etc.

Data access & user manual

For Product Visualization https://www.globalfloods.eu/

For Product Download and configuration log in at https://gfm.portal.geoville.com/

One login for all components and functionalities (synchronised in the backends)

Product user manual & Product definition document

https://extwiki.eodc.eu/en/GFM







Thank you

patrick.matgen@list.lu

Acknowledgments: Related research at LIST funded by the National Research Fund (FNR) of Luxembourg through the HYDRO_CSI project (grant C16/IS/11335103) the European Commission through the eshape project and ESA through the EDRIFT project

e-shape solutions: Earth Observation for biodiversity and water management, The Hague, 14-15 February 2023